

PREMIER MINISTRE

General Secretariat for Defence and National Security

French Network and Information Security Agency

Certification Report ANSSI-CC-PP-2009/03

Protection Profile "Router with trusted embedded element" (reference PP RECE, version 4.0)

Paris, the 21 December 2010

Courtesy Translation



Warning

This report testifies that the protection profile evaluated fulfill the evaluation criteria. A protection profile is a public document which defined for a special product category a set of requirements and security objectives independently of the technology and the implementation. The products defined from this protection profile satisfied the security needs from a common group of users.

Any correspondence about this report has to be addressed to:

Secrétariat général de la défense et de la sécurité nationale Agence nationale de la sécurité des systèmes d'information Centre de certification 51, boulevard de la Tour Maubourg 75700 PARIS cedex 07 SP France

certification.anssi@ssi.gouv.fr

Reproduction of this document without any change or cut is authorised.

Certification report reference					
ANSSI-CC-PP-2009/03					
Protection profile name					
Profil de Protection ''Routeur avec élément de confiance					
embarqué''					
"Router with trusted embedded element"					
Protection profile reference					
Reference PP RECE / version 4.0					
Evaluation criteria and version					
Common Criteria version 3.1					
Evaluation level imposed by the PP					
EAL 1 augmented					
ADV_ARC.1, ADV_FSP.2, ADV_TDS.1, ASE_OBJ.2, ASE_REQ.2, ASE_SPD.1, AVA_VAN.2					
Writer					
Trusted Labs					
5 rue du Bailliage, 78000 Versailles, France					
Sponsor					
Alcatel-Lucent France					
Centre de Villarceaux, Route de Villejust, 91625 Nozay, France					
Evaluation facility					
Oppida					
4-6 avenue du vieil étang, Bâtiment B, 78180 Montigny le Bretonneux, France					
Tél : +33 (0)1 30 14 19 00, mail : cesti@oppida.fr					
Recognition arrangements					
CCRA SOG-IS					
Certified					

Introduction

The Certification

Security certification for information technology products and systems is governed by decree number 2002-535 dated April, 18th 2002, and published in the "Journal Officiel de la République Française". This decree stipulates that:

- The French Network and Information Security Agency draws up **certification reports**. These reports indicate the features of the proposed security targets. They may include any warnings that the authors feel the need to mention for security reasons. They may or may not be transmitted to third parties or made public, as the sponsors desire (article 7).
- The **certificates** issued by the Prime Minister certify that the copies of the products or systems submitted for evaluation fulfil the specified security features. They also certify that the evaluations have been carried out in compliance with applicable rules and standards, with the required degrees of skill and impartiality (article 8).

The procedures are available on the Internet site <u>www.ssi.gouv.fr</u>.

Contents

1. PRE	SENTATION OF THE PROTECTION PROFILE	
1.1.	PROTECTION PROFILE IDENTIFICATION	6
1.2.	WRITER	6
1.3.	PROTECTION PROFILE DESCRIPTION	6
1.4.	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	
1.5.	ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	
2. EVA	LUATION	9
2.1.	EVALUATION REFERENTIAL	9
2.2.	SPONSORS	
2.3.	EVALUATION FACILITY	
2.4.	EVALUATION TASKS	
3. CEF	RTIFICATION	
3.1.	CONCLUSION	
3.2.	EUROPEAN RECOGNITION (SOG-IS)	
3.3.	INTERNATIONAL COMMON CRITERIA RECOGNITION (CCRA)	
ANNEX 1	1. EVALUATION LEVEL OF THE PRODUCT	
ANNEX 2	2. REFERENCES	

1. Presentation of the protection profile

1.1. Protection profile identification

Title: Profil de Protection, Routeur avec élément de confiance embarqué. Reference: PP RECE Version: 4.0 Date: 8 December 2009

1.2. Writer

This protection profile has been written by:

Trusted Labs

5 rue du Bailliage 78000 Versailles France

1.3. Protection profile description

The protection profile [PP], writen in the scope of the ESTER (*Evolution de la Sécurité dans les Télécommunications et Equipements de Réseau* – Evolution of the Security in Telecommunications and Network Equipment) project, defines a set of security objectives and requirements, independent of the implementation, of a router which integrate a security module (a smartcard).

The main role of this router, called "ESTER router", is the delivery of information between the different network nodes in a secure way. The smartcard integrated in this router allows improving the network infrastructures security, especially the authentication of management and control messages. It acts as an electronic strongbox. It protects the sensitive elements (protocol data, keys, ...) within nodes of these infrastructures.

This solution provides a trust environment for the cryptographic keys generation and protection, the messages signature in order to their authentication, that is allow to protect itself against attacks aim at destroy or forge vital elements for the network functioning.

The target of evaluation defined in the protection profile [PP] allows providing a high security level for:

- the network infrastructure, by efficiently protecting management and control protocols (OSPF (Open Shortest Path First) keys, sorting table, ...);
- the node itself, by allowing a security minimal mode where, even if the node has been attacked and that it is under the complete control of the attacker, some information will remain secret and protect by the smartcard. This thing, in order to avoid the attack propagats towards next networks.

1.4. Functional requirements

The security functional requirements which are identified in this protection profile are the following:

- Security alarms (FAU_ARP.1)
- Audit data generation (FAU_GEN.1)
- User identity association (FAU_GEN.2)
- Potential violation analysis (FAU_SAA.1)
- Audit review (FAU_SAR.1)
- Guarantees of audit data availability (FAU_STG.2)
- Cryptographic key generation (FCS_CKM.1)
- Cryptographic key destruction (FCS_CKM.4)
- Cryptographic operation (FCS_COP.1)
- Authentication failure handling (FIA_AFL.1)
- User authentication before any action (FIA_UAU.2)
- User identification before any action (FIA_UID.2)
- Management of security functions behaviour (FMT_MOF.1)
- Management of TSF data (FMT_MTD.1)
- Specification of management functions (FMT_SMF.1)
- Restriction of security roles (FMT_SMR.2)
- Replay detection (FPT_RPL.1)
- Reliable time stamps (FPT_STM.1)
- Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP_ITC.1)
- Trusted path (FTP_TRP.1)

All these security functional requirements are extracted from Common Criteria part 2 [CC].

1.5. Assurance requirements

The assurance requirements required for this protection profile is **EAL 1 augmented with the following assurance requirements**¹:

Components	Descriptions
ADV_ARC.1	Security architecture description
ADV_FSP.2	Security-enforcing functional specification
ADV_TDS.1	Basic design
ASE_OBJ.2	Security objectives
ASE_REQ.2	Derived security requirements
ASE_SPD.1	Security problem definition
AVA_VAN.2	Vulnerability analysis

Tableau 1 - Augmentations

All these security assurance requirements are extracted from Common Criteria part 3 [CC].

¹ **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.**: table of different evaluation assurance levels (EAL – Evaluation Assurance Level) predefined in the Common Criteria [CC].

2. Evaluation

2.1. Evaluation referential

The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the **Common Criteria standard version 3.1** [CC] and the evaluation methodology defined within the CEM [CEM].

2.2. Sponsor

Alcatel-Lucent France

Centre de Villarceaux Route de Villejust 91625 Nozay France

2.3. Evaluation facility

OPPIDA

4-6 avenue du vieil étang Bâtiment B 78180 Montigny le Bretonneux France Phone : +33 (0)1 30 14 19 00 Mail: cesti@oppida.fr

2.4. Evaluation tasks

The evaluation technical report [ETR], delivered to ANSSI the 9 December 2009, provides details on the work performed by the evaluation facility and assesses that all evaluation tasks are "**pass**".

3. Certification

3.1. Conclusion

The evaluation was carried out according to the current rules and standards, with the required competency and impartiality for a licensed evaluation facility. All the work performed permits the release of a certificate in conformance with the decree 2002-535.

3.2. European recognition (SOG-IS)

This certificate is issued in accordance with the provisions of the SOG-IS agreement [SOG-IS].

The European Recognition Agreement made by SOG-IS in 1999 allows recognition from Signatory States of the agreement¹, of ITSEC and Common Criteria certificates. The European recognition is applicable up to ITSEC E6 and CC EAL7 levels. The certificates that are recognized in the agreement scope are released with the following marking:



3.3. International common criteria recognition (CCRA)

This certificate is released in accordance with the provisions of the CCRA [CC RA].

The Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement allows the recognition, by signatory countries², of the Common Criteria certificates. The mutual recognition is applicable up to the assurance components of CC EAL4 level and also to ALC_FLR family. The certificates that are recognized in the agreement scope are released with the following marking:



¹ The signatory countries of the SOG-IS agreement are: Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

² The signatory countries of the CCRA arrangement are: Australia, Austria, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, India, Israel, Italy, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Netherlands, New-Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, the United Kingdom and the United States of America.

Class	Family	Components by assurance level					nce	Assurance level of the product		
		EAL 1	EAL 2	EAL 3	EAL 4	EAL 5	EAL 6	EAL 7	EAL 1+	Name of the component
	ADV_ARC	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Security architecture description
	ADV_FSP	1	2	3	4	5	5	6	2	Security-enforcing functional specification
ADV	ADV_IMP				1	1	2	2		
Development	ADV_INT					2	3	3		
	ADV_SPM						1	1		
	ADV_TDS		1	2	3	4	5	6	1	Basic design
AGD	AGD_OPE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Operational user guidance
Guidance	AGD_PRE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Preparative procedures
	ALC_CMC	1	2	3	4	4	5	5	1	Labelling of the TOE
	ALC_CMS	1	2	3	4	5	5	5	1	TOE configuration management coverage
ALC	ADO_DEL		1	1	1	1	1	1		
Life-cycle	ALC_DVS			1	1	1	2	2		
support	ALC_FLR									
	ALC_LCD			1	1	1	1	2		
	ALC_TAT				1	2	3	3		
	ASE_CCL	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Conformance claims
	ASE_ECD	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Extended components definition
ST	ASE_INT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ST introduction
Security Target	ASE_OBJ	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	Security objectives
Evaluation	ASE_REQ	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	Derived security requirements
	ASE_SPD		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Security problem definition
	ASE_TSS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	TOE summary specification
	ATE_COV		1	2	2	2	3	3		
ATE	ATE_DPT			1	2	3	3	4		
Tests	ATE_FUN		1	1	1	1	2	2		
	ATE_IND	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	1	Independent testing - conformance
AVA Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN	1	2	2	3	4	5	5	2	Vulnerability analysis

Annex 1. Evaluation level of the product

Annex 2. References

Decree number 2002-535 dated 18 th April 2002 related to the security evaluations and certifications for information technology products and systems.				
[CPP/P/01]	Procedure CPP/P/01 – Protection profiles certification, DCSSI.			
[CC]	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation : Part 1: Introduction and general model, July 2009, version 3.1, revision 3 Final, ref CCMB-2009-07-001; Part 2: Security functional components, July 2009, version 3.1, revision 3 Final, ref CCMB-2009-07-002; Part 3: Security assurance components, July 2009, version 3.1, revision 3 Final, ref CCMB-2009-07-003.			
[CEM]	Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation : Evaluation Methodology, July 2009, version 3.1, revision 3 Final, ref CCMB-2009-07-004.			
[CC RA]	Arrangement on the Recognition of Common Criteria certificates in the field of information Technology Security, May 2000.			
[SOG-IS]	«Mutual Recognition Agreement of Information Technology Security Evaluation Certificates», version 2.0, April 1999, Management Committee of Agreement Group.			
[PP]	Profil de protection « Routeur avec élément de confiance embarqué », Reference: PP RECE, version 4.0 dated from 8 December 2009, Trusted Labs			
[RTE]	Evaluation Technical Report, ESTER Project – APE evaluation task, Reference: OPPIDA/CESTI/PP-ESTER/APE/4.0 dated from 09/12/2009, Oppida			